



ONLINE LIBRARY

(www.onekhmer.org/onlinelibrary)

Title: Written Analysis

Name of Author

Name of University

Country of Study Australia

Major Commerce

Degree Master

Course Title Critical Thinking For Manager

Type of Document Assignment

Year 2017

MPM732: CRITICAL THINKING FOR MANAGER

Assignment 2- Written Analysis

Word Count: 2014 words

Submitted date: 21.05.2017

Personal Speech: “Do not put blame on something, when you are irresponsible”

According to National Institute on Drug Abuse 2015 states that the numbers of the illicit drug users in United State 2013 was estimated 24.6 million - aged 12 or older which was 9.4 percent of the population. The aged of drug users was 18 to 20 year-olds which was the highest number of among other age. Using drugs can bring some benefits to people. There are some reasons from adults about the benefits of using drugs such as, to fit in, to escape or relax, and to release the stress and boredom. However, Base on Western Australia’s Chief Justice claimed that more than half of the murders and 95% of the robberies could use the methamphetamine before offended (ABC Radio Perth, 2015). Therefore, this is an argument that the drugs users should not put the blame on the drugs after offending.

Using of drugs can make people uncontrolled themselves and lead to offend. There was a case in Sydney which happened in 2013. Ms Ajbschitz was atrociously attacked and hit by her partner inside her apartment. Before killing her, that man dragged her bloody body throughout the apartment and then he stomped on her chest until her heart stop. It was analyzed that that man used the methamphetamine before he killed his partner. However, that man tried to reveal the truth in order to escape murder conviction by referring to the meth was influenced on him that caused him to kill her. As a result, he got 25 years minimum sentence after the law claimed that he could not form an intention to kill his partner (Koubaridis, A, 2015).

Similarly, there was a murderous case, which was caused by Nelson Lai, was a man slaughterer. He was an ice-bender before killing Ms O’Donnell. He tried to excuse that he did not know how to use the gun. He was just minding the gun for his friend without knowing it was loaded (Koubaridis, A, 2015).

The both victim’s families were concerned about the killers’ legal maneuvers by denied to comment and hope that the law could find out the justice for victims. Ms O’Donnell’s brother argued that when someone pointed the gun to another one, and pulled the trigger, it means that that one was the murder. I wonder that that man could know that gun is dangerous weapon that unknown using person should not hold it. That person could not deny that he did not have intention to fire. On the of defense’s case, the killer was an ice-induced high and it would lead him to be tired and fatigued before the happening day.

I believe that if the law judged to give the murder to win this case, it would happen as same as current case again and again. Moreover, people could be devastated when the killers could escape with what they did violation of the law. It is like an encouragement to the murderers to kill or do something that opposite the law. On the other hand, when the law could have strongly punishment to the killers, it may reduce the murderous case.

In the last minute of the victims' life, the victims could not think what to do when the murderer was doing the brutal assault. I really wonder that they should not deny what they have done. This is because the powerful man could make the female victims to be obviously petrified state as they were facing to a vicious, inhumane and unprovoked attack.

According to Nedim, U, 2014 stated that using drugs (methamphetamine hydrochloride) which is known as 'ice' can make people to be paranoia, hallucination, depression, memory loss and loss of ability to make decisions. It could also lead users do something that they never do. The fact that defendant could not use an excuse as intoxicated drugs to prove that murderers did not intent to offend. As King's case, he killed his girlfriend and put the blame on the influenced drugs. Although, drugs could change people's behavior and impact the ability to be self-control, it is still clearly considered to punish the person who offended. A person, who took the drugs, must be responsible for what he/she has done and subsequent consequences.

After we have discussed about two examples above, we can question that does drug use cause or lead to crime? Or does crime lead to drugs use? Could it be that murderer just uses drugs as an excuse to avoid the punishment? It can be assumed that the relationship between drugs and crime are close. It is not a wonderment that the murderers would use drugs to make themselves to be brave to offend. On the other word, it can be seen that the drug use causes crime.

In conclusion, I believe that murderers just use intoxicated drugs to escape the statement from the court. Assassins may have intention to kill or do brutal assault. Using drugs before they do activity just make them brave enough to kill people. Moreover, I think that although victims had mistaken, murderers should not kill them. We have country's law so we must respect the law together. I believe that when we reduce drugs uses, it probably reduce the number of crimes. I strongly suggest to the government that it should be restricted the drugs law and

heavy punishment to person who took drugs without responsible. It would be better for our country and the world to live without drugs.

Reflection on the speech

The critical elements are used in the speech. Reflection and credibility can be used to analyze what the author believes, and also improve an evaluation of the validity of the premise (Mezirow, 1990). In the credibility part, it can be seen how the writer's rhetoric persuasive technique to convince the audiences to believe the writer's belief. Moreover, the implementation of logic level can be presented to show the speech constructs its argument, meanwhile, to help the ability to solve the problems. The purpose of this reflection is to reflect the speech with a reasonable and reliable critical medium.

The reflection has two visions such as contextual vision and critical vision. Contextual vision would show my statement bases on the drugs-blaming concept. Secondly, the critical vision is used to discuss about how the drugs impact on the people's emotion and behavior, whole society, and cause the crimes.

In term of the contextual reflection, the idea of drugs-blaming can be defined as the main objective to discuss in my speech. The reason that I choose this topic to discuss is because I would like to drugs users as my main target audiences to understand their behavior before using and after using drugs. I also get a new perception that why the drug users always take drugs before they offend and I could show my opinion on what they had done.

Before we can explain the drugs-blaming performance, we should know what the drugs are, and how it impacts on and change the people's behavior. My clearly understanding of public behavior towards the drug user is consisted of two parts which are definition of the concept and its effects. At the end of my argument results that when in a society has many drug users, how that society will be, and what the justice will punish offenders.

My argument uses the writing tone of the speech in order to invoke emotion and stress a syllable. According to Aristotle (cited in European Rhetoric, nd) states there are three kinds of the modes of persuasion that are provided by the spoken word. Firstly, it depends on the personal character of the presenter (ethos). Secondly, pathos refers to emotional of the audiences. Finally, providing the proof or apparent proof by the word of the speech and

convince the audiences suitable to the case in question is called logos. In the speech, it can be seen using the repetition tool with repeating “I wonder and I really wonder” which are the Logos method in order to present of emotional words and tone.

In term of the logos, it is consisted into two different forms of proofs such as the nature proofs which base on given data and document and technical proofs which are mixed all of information (hints, examples, ect.). In my inductive argument has provided strong evidence to support the conclusion which is “if..... then” argument. As a rhetoric question, the speech argue that if the law judged to give the murder to win this case, it would happen as same as current case again and again. It can show that this argument can be both nature proof and logic in order to support reliable evidence for my conclusion is that people could not put the blame on the drugs meanwhile they could not control themselves.

In order to view the support point for different case of speaking credibility evidence, this speech is used Ms O'Donnell case to support my argument that drug users could lead a society to be instability and make people could not control their emotion when they get angry with someone. It can be seen that when a judgment could punish intoxicated drug murderers with heavy statement, how many people that could face with the same case in the future. After the original pleading of this case, the murderer would faces to get 25 years minimum sentence. I believe that when a society or country could reduce as many as drugs users, it could also reduce the crimes which cause by intoxicated drugs users.

Base on the critical reflection, my opinion regards as ethical context as it discusses about human responsibleness on the social background referring to effectiveness of drugs to users in a society. In the speech contains the critical reflection which reflects the ethical discourse. “The drugs users tried to escape the sentence by putting the blame on the drug; it could lead to not justice in a society”. In order to make argument flow, it should be method to understand the factors of effective performances. In my speech, the evidence which base on the social background, has viewed that why it could cause a crime. It also shows the behavior part that my stance towards the blame and judgment of people on the drugs users and the victims.

As my speech headline, “Do not put the blame on something, when you are irresponsible”. I believe drugs are not good for people health to use. I know drugs users use the drugs to get benefits such as releasing the stress, gain the power or energy. However, the drugs can make the users to do something that they did not attempt to do. Also, in the speech, Ms Ajbschitz as

a victim had killed by her partner King (as a murderer) who was high intoxicated drugs before he offended. I strongly agree with the sentences which the court offered to the murderers. I also appreciate to the victims that could get the justice and be not scared about the same case in the future. The words “I believe”, “I think” that are used in the speech is show about depiction of myself at speaking on the victim defender and the not using drugs in a society.

To sum up, using the critical factors is related to find out the problem which is the escaping the sentence of drugs users. Reflection and credibility can enhance the speech to analyze what the author believes, and also improve an evaluation of the validity of the premise. It can be seen that the high intoxicated drug users should be punished even they did not offend. Moreover, when they offend such as killing people or do something which violent the law, the police should arrest them and punish them follow the law. There are three elements of the rhetoric which are personal character of the presenter (ethos), pathos refers to emotional of the audiences, and providing the proof or apparent proof by the word of the speech and convince the audiences suitable to the case in question is called logos.

Reference lists

ABC Radio Perth, 2015, *Number of crimes committed by methamphetamine addicts 'truly frightening', WA's top judge says*, ABC News, retrieved 19 May 2017, <<http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-02-25/wa-chief-justice-says-ice-problem-truly-frightening/6261310>>

European Rhetoric, nd, *Ethos, Pathos & Logos – Modes of Persuasion*, European Rhetoric, Retrieved 19 May 2017, <<http://www.european-rhetoric.com/ethos-pathos-logos-modes-persuasion-aristotle/>>

KOUBARIDIS, A, 2015, *Ice Killers are trying to use their addictions to beat the law*, News.com.au, retrieved 17 May 2017, <<http://www.news.com.au/national/crime/ice-killers-are-trying-to-use-their-addictions-to-beat-the-law/news-story/740b63575eab98b107d447f237987064>>

Mezirow, J. (1990) How critical reflection triggers transformative learning. In J. Mezirow (ed.) *Fostering critical reflection in adulthood: a guide to transformative and emancipatory learning*, pp. 1-20. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2015, *Nationwide Trends*, National Institute on Drug Abuse, retrieved 19 May 2017, <<https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/drugfacts/nationwide-trends>>

Nedim, U, 2014, *Is being Under the Influence Ever a Defence?*, New Courts, retrieved 19 May 2017, <<http://nswcourts.com.au/articles/is-being-under-the-influence-ever-a-defence/>>